

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

The *Tsinghua China Law Review* (the “**Law Review**”) is dedicated to following best practices on publications ethics. The prevention of publication malpractice is one of the important responsibilities of the editorial board. For all parties involved in the act of publishing (the author, the editor, the reviewer and the publisher), it is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior. Although not a peer-reviewed journal, the Law Review’s ethics and malpractice statement is derived from the Committee on Publication Ethics (“**COPE**”) Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors of peer-reviewed journals, which can be found by visiting <http://publicationethics.org/>.

Author Responsibilities

1. **Reporting Standards:** Authors should present an accurate account of their original research as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Manuscripts will follow the submission guidelines of the journal.
2. **Data Access and Retention:** Authors shall be prepared to make data or research publicly available, if practicable, and may be asked to provide the raw data from a study. Authors shall ensure such data or research is accessible for readers or other professionals for a reasonable period of time after publication (preferably via an institutional or subject-based data repository or other data center), provided that the confidentiality of research participants can be protected and legal rights concerning proprietary data do not preclude their release.
3. **Originality:** Authors must ensure that they have written entirely original work.
4. **Multiple, Redundant, or Concurrent Publications:** Articles describing similar research shall not be published in more than one journal. Publishing the same paper in more than one journal constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Manuscripts published as copyrighted material elsewhere cannot be submitted to the Law Review.
5. **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Authors should acknowledge all sources of data used in the research and cite publications that have been influential in research work.
6. **Authorship of the Paper:** Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be named in an Acknowledgement section. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors (according to the above definition) and no inappropriate co-authors are included in the author list of the manuscript, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.
7. **Disclosure of Financial Support:** Sources of financial support, if any, must be clearly disclosed.
8. **Fundamental Errors in Published Works:** When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author’s obligation to promptly notify the journal’s editor or publisher and cooperate with them to either retract the paper or to publish an appropriate erratum.

Reviewer Responsibilities

1. **Contribution to Editorial Decisions:** Reviewing assists the Editor-in-Chief and the editorial board in making editorial decisions and serves the author to improve the manuscript.
2. **Promptness:** In the event that a reviewer feels it is not possible for him/her to complete review of manuscript within stipulated time then this information must be communicated to the editor, so that the manuscript could be sent to another reviewer.
3. **Confidentiality:** Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except if authorized by the editor.
4. **Objectivity:** Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable. Referees should express their views clearly with appropriate supporting arguments.
5. **Acknowledgement of Sources:** Manuscript reviewers must ensure that authors have acknowledged all sources of data used in the research. Any kind of similarity or overlap between the manuscripts under consideration or with any other published paper of which reviewer has personal knowledge must be immediately brought to the editor's notice.
6. **Disclosure and conflict of interest:** Privileged information or ideas obtained through reviewing must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider evaluating manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the submission.

Editor Responsibilities

1. **Accountability:** Editors are responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the journal should be published, and, moreover, is accountable for everything published in the journal. In making these decisions, the editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board as well as by legal requirements regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers when making publication decisions. The editor should maintain the integrity of the academic record, preclude business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards, and always be willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.
2. **Fairness:** The editor should evaluate manuscripts for intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the author(s). The editor will not disclose any information about a manuscript under consideration to anyone other than the author(s), reviewers and potential reviewers, and in some instances the editorial board members, as appropriate.
3. **Confidentiality:** The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.
4. **Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest:** The editor must not use unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript for his own research without written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through reviewing must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. The editor is committed to ensuring that advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue has no impact or influence on editorial decisions. The editor should seek to ensure a fair and appropriate review process. Editors should recuse themselves (i.e. should ask another member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) from considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections

with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers. Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern.

Publication

1. **Copyright:** The copyright in the article shall remain with the article's author(s).
2. **Licensing, Reproduction, Distribution:** Authors grant to the Law Review a license to publish, print, reprint, post, and otherwise disseminate the article in any and all mediums. Specifically, authors grant to the Law Review: (a) an exclusive right of first publication provided, however, that an author may post drafts of the article on SSRN, ExpressO, Scholastica, or any other similar means of peer-review distribution, so long as the author notifies the Law Review; and (b) a nonexclusive right of publication thereafter. This non-exclusive license includes the right to authorize the electronic reproduction of the article by LexisNexis, Westlaw, HeinOnline, or any similar electronic database, to authorize others to reproduce the article for noncommercial or educational purposes, and to transfer or sublicense the rights granted within our publishing agreement to the Law Review. Any reproduction of articles, including, but not limited to, publication, posting, or excerption in print or on the Internet, shall give attribution to the article's original publication in the Law Review.